



CANCER PREVENTION & RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF TEXAS

Strategies and Opportunities Around Primary Prevention of Cancer



Moderator & Speakers





Nicole Kluz, MPH Moderator Assistant Director for Cancer Prevention Research Dell Medical School University of Texas at Austin Dell Medical Center



Karen M. Basen-Engquist,

PhD, MPH

Distinguished Professor

The University of Texas MD

Anderson Cancer Center



Michael Pignone, MD, MPH

Inaugural Chair Department of Internal Medicine **The University of Texas at Austin Dell Medical School**



Jananie Ramesh, MD

Assistant Professor Department of Internal Medicine The University of Texas at Austin Dell Medical School





Screening and treatment for unhealthy alcohol use as a means of cancer prevention in Federally Qualified Health Centers



Michael Pignone, MD, MPH Dell Medical School, University of Texas at Austin

FUNDING: Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT)





Cancer Prevention & Research Institute of Texas

Alcohol Use in the United States

86.3% of US adults report some lifetime alcohol use
70% reported alcohol use within the past year

• 28% have drinking above recommended limits

• 14.4 million adults (5.8%) with alcohol use disorder

Definitions of Unhealthy Alcohol Use

- Unhealthy use
 - Women: >1 standard alcoholic beverage/day or > 7 per week
 - Men: >2 standard alcoholic beverages/day or > 14 per week
- Binge drinking: for men: >= 5 drinks on single occasion; for women: >= 4
- Alcohol use disorder
 - Mild
 - Moderate
 - Severe



38 million US adults drink too much; only 1 in 6 have discussed with health provider

Unhealthy Alcohol Use and Cancer Risk

- Alcohol misuse is third-leading cancer risk factors
- Most people understand link between alcohol and liver disease or injuries, but cancer is also important
- Alcohol is a risk factor for:
 - breast cancer
 - colorectal cancer
 - esophageal / head and neck cancers
 - liver cancer
- Over 32,000 cancer deaths per year in US (over 3,200 in Texas) attributable to alcohol misuse

If we identify alcohol misuse and intervene, we can reduce cancer incidence and mortality

Screening and Counseling for Unhealthy Alcohol Use

- USPSTF recommends screening for unhealthy alcohol use in primary care settings for adults 18 years or older (B recommendation)
- Provide persons engaged in risky or hazardous drinking with brief behavioral counseling interventions
- Encourage pharmacotherapy for patients diagnosed with likely AUD



Our Approach: Overview

- Brief screen for risky drinking with AUDIT-C
- Refer those screening positive to bilingual social worktrained counselor-navigator
- Perform full AUDIT
 - Moderate score -> brief counseling (two sessions)
 - High score (likely AUD) -> brief intervention and recommend pharmacotherapy

Goal 1: Screen all consecutive patients for unhealthy alcohol use a single clinical site in each of 2 central Texas FQHC systems and provide appropriate assessment for concurrent risk factors and follow-up.

Objective 1: Screen 1,000 consecutive patients at each site (2,000 total) and administer the full AUDIT in 80% of patients (320/400) who screen positive for unhealthy alcohol use on the single question screener.

- > 3338 total screened (at CUC)
- > 514 (15%) screened positive
- > 468 of 514 (91%) completed AUDIT

- > 29916 total screened (at LSCC)
- > 3078 (10%) screened positive
- 70 (2%) completed AUDIT

Screening Demographics (CUC)

Screening using the AUDIT-C			
Total screened	3338		
Negative screen	2824		
Positive	514	15.4%	
score 3-5	321	62.4%	
scores 6-8	143	27.8%	
scores 9-12	50	9.7%	

* data from Epic report (filtered to IM + FM clinic only)

Data last updated 9/8/2023

		POSITIVE	514	NEGATIVE	2824
AGE	mean age	45.2		48.5	
GENDER	male	293	57.0%	1097	38.8%
	female	221	43.0%	1727	61.2%
	white	338	65.8%	1964	69.5%
PACE	black	51	9.9%	291	10.3%
NACE	other	12	2.3%	104	3.7%
	not reported	113	22.0%	465	16.5%
	Hispanic	315	61.3%	1852	65.6%
ETHNICITY	Non-Hispanic	146	28.4%	778	27.5%
	Not reported	53	10.3%	194	6.9%
	English	318	61.9%	1476	52.3%
LANGUAGE	Spanish	191	37.2%	1291	45.7%
	Other	5	1.0%	57	2.0%
	Мар	243	47.3%	1265	44.8%
	Medicare	44	8.6%	406	14.4%
Payor	Medicaid	73	14.2%	554	19.6%
	Commercial	99	19.3%	368	13.0%
	Other	23	4.5%	123	4.4%
	not reported	32	6.2%	108	3.8%

Goal 1: Screen all consecutive patients for unhealthy alcohol use a single clinical site in each of 2 central Texas FQHC systems and provide appropriate assessment for concurrent risk factors and follow-up.

Objective 3: At 3-6 month return clinic visit, follow-up on all 300-360 patients who screen positive for unhealthy drinking or alcohol use disorder with an AUDIT re-assessment

> 237/335 (71%) completed follow up AUDIT within 6 months

Goal 2: Deliver appropriate brief intervention (1-2 sessions) to at least 50% of patients (150/300) screening positive for unhealthy alcohol use.

Objective 1: Administer brief intervention to at least 50% of patients within each Central Texas FQHC with risky drinking but low likelihood of alcohol use disorder.

Of the 514 who screened positive, 425 (83%) received the brief intervention (CUC)

Goal 2: Deliver appropriate brief intervention (1-2 sessions) to at least 50% of patients (150/300) screening positive for unhealthy alcohol use.

Objective 2: Refer patients with high likelihood of alcohol use disorder for additional evaluation and treatment, with the support of patient navigation.

Target: 80% of patients scoring >12 will receive additional assessment by navigator. Of those with AUD likely, at least 20% will receive the brief intervention & 20% will undergo intensive treatment.

Current Status:

- 141/148 (95%) of patients scoring >12 received the brief intervention
- 52/148 (35%) of patients with likely AUD have been referred for additional evaluation/treatment and 27/148 (18%) preferred additional SW sessions
- 18/52 (35%) patients received pharmacotherapy for AUD

Goal 3: Reduce the prevalence of drinking above recommended levels by 25% at 3-6 month follow up for those who receive treatments for unhealthy drinking or alcohol use disorder (N=200)

Objective 1: Reduce the prevalence of drinking above recommended levels by 25% at 3-6 month follow-up for those who receive treatment for unhealthy drinking or alcohol use disorder (n=200).

Target: There will be a [meaningful] reduction in AUDIT score among patients that receive the brief intervention

Current Status: Mean 3.9 pt. AUDIT score <u>reduction</u> 95% CI (3.3, 4.6)*

Lessons Learned

- Screening is feasible in primary care!
- Brief intervention is feasible and effective when delivered by social work-trained, bilingual counselor-navigator
 - Telephone delivery works!
 - Design for equity key!
- Referral to specialty-led AUD treatment not feasible
- Primary care physicians can partner to deliver pharmacotherapy
- Plenty of unmet need to address!

PROGRAM TEAM



Program Director Michael Pignone, MD, MPH pignone@austin.utexas.edu



Social Worker/Patient Navigator Karen Mendoza, LCSW karen.mendoza@austin.utexas.edu



Subject Matter Expert Mary Marden Velasquez, PhD velasquez@austin.utexas.edu



Project Manager (DMS) Nicole Kluz, MPH nicole.kluz@austin.utexas.edu



PROGRAM TEAM



Site Program Manager/Support (CUC) Napatkamon (Yui) Ayutyanont, PhD, CPHQ NapatkamonYui.Ayutyanont@communitycaretx.org



Provider/Referral (CUC/DMS) Matt Hubley, DO james.hubley@ascension.org



Program Coordinator (DMS) Jocelyn Labrada, BA jocelyn.labrada@austin.utexas.edu



Provider/Referral (CUC) John Weems, MD John.Weems@communitycaretx.org

PROGRAM TEAM



Site Program Manager/Support (LSCC) Melissa Valdez, MD, PhD, MSHCT <u>mvaldez@lscctx.org</u>



Social Worker/Patient Navigator (LSCC) Stephanie Gill, MA stgill@lscctx.org



Site Program Manager/Support (LSCC) Dydia Burnsed, LCSW dburnsed@lscctx.org



Active Living After Cancer

Karen Basen-Engquist, PhD, MPH Professor | Department of Health Disparities Research kbasenen@mdanderson.org | 9 @K_BasenEngquist THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

Making Cancer History®

Exercise For **Cancer Prevention** and Treatment colon cancer breast cancer For all adults, exercise is important for cancer prevention and specifically lowers risk of seven common types of cancer: endometrial cancer stomach cancer kidney cancer esophageal cancer bladder cancer

American College of Sports Medicine, 2019

Exercise after diagnosis of cancer improves survival for survivors of

- Breast cancer
- Colon cancer
- Prostate cancer

Exercise and cancer prevention

Exercise restores physical and mental well-being for people with cancer

 (\mathfrak{P})

MOVING THROUGH CANCER:

Exercise for people living with and beyond cancer

TO GET STARTED Avoid inactivity; moving more and sitting less benefits nearly everyone

FOR OVERALL HEALTH Aim to meet the current exercise guidelines for adults¹ Moderate Aerobic Exercise Vigorous Aerobic Exercise



At least 150-300 mins per week

OR At least 75-150 mins per week (or a combination of moderate/vigorous aerobic exercise)

> Resistance Exercise ഫ 2x per week

EXERCISE IS MEDICINE!

FOR PEOPLE DURING & FOLLOWING CANCER TREATMENT

Research shows lower amounts of exercise can still help with the following cancer treatment-related symptoms:









Physical function

Anxiety





Sleep

Health-related

quality of life









Cancer-related fatigue





Depression





Bone health³



To improve these symptoms, choose an exercise plan below:

OR



Aerobic Exercise 3x per week 30-60 mins

Helps to manage the following symptoms:



Resistance Exercise 2x per week 2 sets/8-15 reps

4110

Helps to manage the following symptoms:



Aerobic Exercise 2-3x per week 20-40 mins

Resistance Exercise 2x per week 2 sets/8-15 reps

0+0

Helps to manage the following symptoms:



Active Living After Cancer

Funding from the Cancer Prevention & Research Institute of Texas to provide the program to minority and medically underserved cancer survivors, in partnership with community organizations

Implementation **Training and** partners: **Evaluation** Center (MD **Dissemination to** Intervention Anderson) Delivery Community: Active Living after **Breast Cancer** (CPRIT PP130079; 170023; 200028) Referral **Program sites** Network

MD ANDERSON CANCER CENTER

Physical activity goal:

Accumulating 30 or more minutes of activity on at least 5 days each week

Participant materials:

Manual, pedometer, resistance bands



Session topics:

Neek	Cognitive and Behavioral Skill	Activity	Survivorship Topic
	(~45 min)	(~10 min)	(~30 min)
1	Identifying Moderate Intensity	Walking	None
2	Readiness to Change, Goal Setting	Walking	Nutrition
3	Benefits and Barriers	Zumba	Treatment side effects
4	Problem Solving Skills	Walking	Talking to Your Doctor
5	Goal Setting	Resistance Bands	Spirituality
6	Rewarding Yourself	Zumba	Emotional Distress
7	Time Management	Resistance Bands	Fatigue
8	Getting Confident	Zumba	Cancer Screening
9	Finding Social Support	Resistance Bands	Relationships
10	Cognitive Restructuring	Balloon Volleyball	Body Image
11	Relapse Prevention	Walking,	Nutrition Revisited
		Resistance bands	
12	Identifying places to be physically active in your community	Zumba	Final Party

Tailored components:

Stage of change assessed every 2-3 weeks Stage-appropriate print material provided

Active Living After Cancer

ALAC timeline





2001 - 2004 Active for Life (R21CA089519)

2013 Phase 1: Active Living After Breast Cancer (CPRIT PP130079)



2017 Phase 2: Expansion to all cancer survivors and to El Paso (CPRIT PP170023)



2019

Expansion to MD **Anderson Houston** Locations (clinic-based model)



2020 Phase 3: Expansion to Beaumont and Tyler (CPRIT PP200028)



2020 Referral program in LBJ Survivorship Clinic (COE Seed Funding)



2021 Statewide expansion via virtual program



2023 **Dissemination to Texas Community Cancer** Centers (CPRIT PP230069)

MD ANDERSON CANCER CENTER

Active Living After Cancer

ALAC is offered through partnerships with community organizations across Texas



Phase 2-4 – Training and technical support







Date	Didactic Topic/Presenter	Case Topic/Presenter	
2/11/2019	Partnering with Faith Based Organizations to Promote Health Terrence Adams	A Case Update: When a Participant Loses their Pedomenter Luz Pena	
2/25/2019	Year 2 Wrap-Up Lizette Rangel	Improving participant recruitment strategies Adriana Valdes	
3/25/2019 Financial Hardship in Cancer Survivors Sara Flores		Facilitating Classroom Discussion Involving Potentially Triggering and Graphic Content Isora Colmenares	
4/22/2019	Lymphedema Treatment Sarah Cleveland	How to Keep a Participant Motivated Past ALAC? Stacy Duriso	

30

Participant flow, Phase 2 (2017-2020)



Demographic characteristics (N=627)

	Cancer survivors (n=540) N (%)	Caregivers (n=87) N (%)
Age (M ± SD years)	61.1 ± 11.3	62.3 ± 13.1
Body mass index (M ± SD kg/m ²)	32.0 ± 11.3	30.6 ± 6.1
Sex		
Female	499 (92.4)	74 (85.1)
Male	41 (7.6)	13 (14.9)
Race/ethnicity		
Asian	8 (1.5)	0 (0.0)
Black or African American	116 (21.5)	5 (5.7)
Hispanic	310 (57.4)	73 (83.9)
Non-Hispanic White	92 (17.0)	7 (8.0)
Other	14 (2.6)	2 (2.3)
Medically underserved	461 (85.4)	81 (93.1)

Cancer history characteristics (N=627)

	Cancer survivors (n=540) N (%)	Caregivers (n=87) N (%)
Cancer type		
Breast	372 (69.4)	9 (81.8)
Bone	21 (3.9)	2 (18.2)
Colorectal	18 (3.4)	0 (0.0)
Gynecologic	18 (3.4)	0 (0.0)
Head and neck	12 (2.2)	0 (0.0)
Cancer stage		
0-1	194 (36.8)	-
II	132 (25.0)	-
III	98 (18.6)	-
IV	45 (8.5)	-
Time since diagnosis (M ± SD years)	7.0 ± 8.1	-

ALAC Phase 2 results

Percent increase in Physical Activity, Quality of Life (PROMIS) & Physical Functioning





Summary of additional findings

Similar effects for breast cancer survivors and survivors of other cancers

ALAC equally effective among cancer survivors and caregivers

For physical activity and physical functioning, some differences by race/ethnicity and education

- Largest increases in MVPA in Asian (Δ=261.7 min/week), followed by Black (Δ=209.2 min/week), Hispanic (Δ=159.7 min/week), and non-Hispanic white (Δ=69.2 min/week)
- Largest improvement in physical function (30-sec sit to stand) in those with a 4-year degree or higher (3.1 repetitions), smallest improvement among those with a HS ed or less (0.7 repetitions)



Dissemination to community cancer centers



Active Living After Cancer – Lessons learned

- Possible to reach a large number of survivors in the community through partnerships, but recruitment is a constant challenge
- Program can be delivered effectively by personnel with different levels of training and qualifications
- Virtual delivery is feasible and effective
- Doesn't require special space/equipment
- Project ECHO enables dissemination by providing ongoing support to implementers
- Challenges enrolling men different approaches needed?



www.mdanderson.org/activeliving



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

Making Cancer History®



Karen Basen-Engquist, PhD, MPH <u>kbasenen@mdanderson.org</u> | @K_BasenEngquist

Questions?

.

THANK YOU

.





CANCER PREVENTION & RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF TEXAS

Coming up after the break:

- Strategies and Opportunities Around Colorectal Cancer Floral Hall A2
- Strategies and Opportunities Around Lung Cancer and Tobacco Cessation Floral Hall A1
- Computational Biology and Modeling: Drug Discovery and Development Expo Hall A4
- Drug Development Resources in Texas Floral Hall B

Share the Experience



Tag us on any post from or about the Innovations VI conference. @CPRITTEXAS

Like and Share our posts about the conference and our grantees and grantee institutions or organizations



Include one of our conference hashtags listed below:

#CPRITInnovations #TexasCancerConference #TexansConquerCancer

YouTube @CPRITTEXAS